

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,744	06/18/2001	Rolando Barbucci	1757	3626
75	590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
Walter Schneider			KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
21530 Beechwo Circleville, OF			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
, ,			1623	1 <
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	$\sim$ $\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/830,744	BARBUCCI ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amonth the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		$\bigcirc$
10. Other:		JAMES O. WILSON RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENYOR	
		source Ila	<u></u>
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)  Advise	ory Action	Pa	rt of Paper No. 15



Applicants Amendment filed August 28, 2003 has been considered but not found to be persuasive. The rejections of claims 12-17 are being maintained. Applicants argue that the claim language added and deleted in Claim 12 limits the claim to amidic cross-linked polysaccharides. Claim 12 is still not seen to limit it to purely amidic cross-linked polysaccharides only. Since the amide group also has a carboxy group, the recitation "preparation of amidic cross-linked polysaccharides containing carboxy groups" is still seen to include carboxy groups in addition to amidic cross-links.

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER